

# MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

## REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

### Minnesota JDAI site demographics: 10 – 17 Population by Race and Ethnicity, 2006

2006	American-Indian	Asian/ Pac. IIs.	Black	Hispanic /Latino	White	Total
State	10,274 (1.6%)	28,395 (4.6%)	40,777 (6.7%)	27,395 (4.5%)	499,448 (82.3%)	606,289
Dakota Co.	269 (0.5%)	2,058 (4.1%)	2,903 (5.9%)	2,371 (4.8%)	41,541 (84.5%)	49,142
Hennepin Co.	1,647 (1.4%)	8,658 (7.6%)	19,450 (17.2%)	7,279 (6.4%)	78,929 (69.8%)	112,963
Ramsey Co.	602 (1.0%)	9,446 (17.1%)	8,336 (15.1%)	4,276 (7.7%)	32,455 (58.5%)	55,115

Source: Puzzanchera, C., Finnegan, T. and Kang, W. (2007). "Easy Access to Juvenile Populations" <http://www.ojjdp.ncjrs.gov/ojstatbb/ezapop/>

## I. IMPACT

### A. AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

ALL MINNESOTA JDAI SITES	Pre-JDAI (01/01/05 – 12/31/05)	Most Recent (01/01/07-12/31/07)
ADP Secure Facilities (All Programs)	218.5	184.4 (15.6% Drop in ADP)
Annual Admissions	9400	7530 (19.8% Drop in Annual Admissions)
ADP Detention Programs	167.8	130.4 (22.3% Drop in Detention ADP)
ALOS in Detention Programs	6.8*	7
ADP Secure Treatment Programs	N/A	11.2
ALOS Secure Treatment Programs	N/A	111.7

\*Excludes Ramsey Co. as system was unable to aggregate 2005 data

DAKOTA COUNTY Capacity 40 Beds	Pre-JDAI (1/1/05-12/31/05)	Most Recent (1/1/07-12/31/07)
ADP Facility (All Programs)	35.5	21
Annual Admissions	876	549
ADP Detention Program	12	8.5
ALOS in Detention Program	6.2	7.6
ADP Treatment Programs (Short Term)	243 (admissions) N/A (ADP)	146 (admissions) 8.2 (ADP)
(Long Term)	17 (admissions) N/A (ADP)	16 (admissions) 6 (ADP)
ALOS Treatment Programs (Short Term Program)	22	22
(Long Term Program)	157	180

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

### REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

<b>HENNEPIN COUNTY Capacity 87 Beds</b>	<b>Pre-JDAI 1/05 -12/05</b>	<b>1/06-12/06</b>	<b>01/07-12/07</b>
<b>ADP<sup>1</sup> Facility</b>	94	99.2 (Detention & BETA)	95.4 (Detention & BETA)
<b>Total Annual Admissions<sup>2</sup></b>	4500	4656	3957 <sup>3</sup>
<b>ADP Detention Program</b>	94	85	79
<b>ALOS<sup>4</sup> Detention Program</b>	Total 7.54 Female 5.0 Male 8.32	Total 6.8 days Female 4.5 days Male 7.4 days	Total 7.3 days Female 4.4 days Male 8.1 days
<b>ADP Treatment Program (BETA)</b>	Data not available – BETA Program was not established yet	14.2	16.4
<b>ALOS Treatment Program (BETA)</b>	As above	24.9	27.2

<b>RAMSEY COUNTY Capacity 86 Beds</b>	<b>Pre-JDAI 2005</b>	<b>Most Recent 1/1/07 - 12/31/07</b>
<b>ADP Facility</b>	89	68
<b>Annual Admissions</b>	4,024	3,024
<b>ADP Detention Program</b>	61.8	42.9
<b>ALOS in Detention Program</b>	N/A	6.2
<b>ADP Treatment Programs (Work Program)</b> *Work Program removed from Facility in March 2008	25	17.6
<b>(START)</b>	8	7.9
<b>ALOS Treatment Programs (Work Program)</b>	14.3	14.5
<b>(START)</b>	439	315

<sup>1</sup> Data taken from Monthly JDC Population –developed by Greg Wagner and submitted monthly to HC Board.

<sup>2</sup> Data from 2005 and 2006 JDC Annual Reports

<sup>3</sup> Data from 2007 on-line JdcEhm Population Annual Report

<sup>4</sup> Data for 2005 taken from 2006 AECF Results report. Data from 2006 and 2007 from on-line JdcEhm Population report annual 2006 and 2007, and on-line JdcEhm report for Q1 and Q2 for 2008.

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

### B. POST DISPOSITIONAL OUT OF HOME PLACEMENTS

ALL MINNESOTA JDAI SITES	Pre-JDAI (1/1/05-12/31/05)	Most Recent (1/1/07-12/31/07)
Youth committed to state corrections (DOC run facilities/programs)	9 (Ramsey County only)*	19
Youth placed in Group Homes, Ranch Camps and other Residential Settings	861*	1,083

\* OHP Data unavailable for Hennepin Co. in 2005 at time of report

DAKOTA COUNTY	Pre-JDAI Jan.-Dec. 2005	Most Recent Jan.-Dec. 2007
Youth committed to state corrections	0	0
Youth placed in Group Homes, Ranch Camps and other Residential Settings	539	246

HENNEPIN COUNTY	Pre-JDAI Jan.-Dec. 2005	Most Recent Jan.-Dec. 2007
Youth committed to state corrections	N/A	15
Youth placed in Group Homes, Ranch Camps and other Residential Settings	N/A	585

RAMSEY COUNTY	Pre-JDAI 2005	Most Recent 1/1/07 - 12/31/07
Youth committed to state corrections	9	4
Youth placed in Group Homes, Ranch Camps and other Residential Settings (Excludes placements by joint Corrections/Social Services Delinquency Unit)	322	252

### C. PUBLIC SAFETY

ALL MINNESOTA JDAI SITES	Pre-JDAI (1/1/05-12/31/05)	Most Recent (1/1/07-12/31/07)	Clarifications
Failure-to-Appear Rate	N/A	N/A	Mix of percentages and raw numbers
Pre-Adjud. Re-Arrest Rate	N/A	N/A	Mix of percentages and raw numbers
Juvenile Crime Indicator	2,421*	1,990* (17.8% Drop)	Petitions filed by County Attorney – FE & Gross M.

\*Excludes Ramsey Co. as only Felony petitions filed, available at time of report

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

### REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

DAKOTA COUNTY	Pre-JDAI Jan.-Dec. 2005	Most Recent Jan.-Dec. 2007	Clarifications
Failure-to-Appear Rate	202	20	Failure to Appear Warrants
Pre-Adjudication Re-Arrest Rate			Not available
Juvenile Crime Indicator	567	230	Felony & Gross Misdemeanor Petitions Filed

HENNEPIN COUNTY	Pre-JDAI 1/05 -12/05	2006 1/06-12/06	2007 1/07-12/07
Failure-to-Appear Rate <sup>5</sup>	Not available	Not available	Not available
Pre-Adjudication Re-Arrest Rate <sup>6</sup>	Not available	Not available	Not available

Juvenile Arrest Rate <sup>7</sup>	Pre-JDAI	2006	2007
<i>Reporting Period</i>	1/05 -12/05	1/06-12/06	01/07-12/07
Person Offenses (Part 1)	2179	2873	3188
Property Offenses (Part 2)	8555	8710	8147
Total	10734	11583	11335

Serious Cases Referred to County Attorney for Prosecution <sup>8</sup>	2004	2005	2006	2007
Felony	2064	2012	2120	1913
Gross Misdemeanor	599	526	743	607
Total	2664	2539	2863	2520

<sup>5</sup> We are working with the Courts and the new court data system to figure out how to track and measure failure-to-appear. We hope to have this measure available in 2008.

<sup>6</sup> We plan to measure pre-adjudication crime using juvenile citations and petitions filed. We hope to gather this measure in 2008.

<sup>7</sup> Data from Brad Kaeter, Hennepin County Criminal Justice Coordinating Committee – Arrests by police agency 2005, 2006 and 2007.

<sup>8</sup> Data from 2004 and 2005 taken from the annual 2006 JDAI Annual Results Report. Data from 2006, 2007 and January – June of 2008 provided by Patty Moses, Hennepin County Attorney's Office. Number includes all cases that came to the county Attorney's office for review including those that were declined, returned to police for further investigation or diverted.

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

### REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

Serious Case Juvenile Delinquency Filings <sup>9</sup>	2004	2005	2006	2007
Felony	1427	1416	1461	1252
Gross Misdemeanor	476	438	588	508
Total	1903	1854	2049	1760

RAMSEY COUNTY	Pre-JDAI 2005	2006	Most Recent 2007	RAI YTD 2008	Clarifications
Failure-to-Appear Rate	6%	N/A	7.7%	5.9%*	
Pre-Adjudication Re-Arrest Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.2%	Not available prior to RAI
Juvenile Crime Indicator	911	965	N/A	N/A	Felony petitions only, Data N/A for 2007

#### D. RACIAL DISPROPORTIONALITY

ALL MINNESOTA JDAI SITES	Pre-JDAI (1/1/05-12/31/05)	Most Recent (1/1/07-12/31/07)
Youth of Color ADP Detention Programs	66.5*	42.2* (Excludes Hennepin Co) <b>(36.1% Drop in YOC ADP in Detention)</b>
Youth of Color % of ADP Detention Programs	67.2%	71.7% <b>(Drop in numbers, increase in percent – Indicates that YOC still overrepresented in comparison to total detention population)</b>
Youth of Color % of ADP Treatment Programs	N/A	57.1% (Excludes Ramsey Co)*
Youth of Color Committed to State Corrections *Placements not disaggregated by race and ethnicity at time of report	N/A*	N/A*
Youth of Color receiving post-dispositional placements in Ranch Camps, Group Homes and other out-of-home Residential Settings	234 (43.3%) Dakota Co.* *Hennepin and Ramsey unable to disaggregate data for Youth of Color 2005 OHP)	527 (65.1%) (Excludes Ramsey Co)*

\*Excludes Hennepin Co. - YOC Detention ADP not available at time of report

\*Excludes Ramsey County - System unable to disaggregate data at time of report

<sup>9</sup> Data from list Delinquency Filings Per year from 1996 through 2006 created by Gail Clapp in Court administration. Data from 2007 from Marcy Podkopacz, Court Research Director.

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

### REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

DAKOTA COUNTY	Pre-JDAI Jan.-Dec. 2005	Most Recent Jan.-Dec. 2007
ADP of Youth of Color in Detention Program	17	8
Youth of Color Percentage of ADP in Detention	47%	38% (9% Drop in YOC of total detention population)
Youth of Color Percentage of ADP in Treatment Programs	N/A	5.5 (38.7%)
Youth of Color committed to state corrections	0	0
Youth of Color receiving post-dispositional placements in Ranch Camps, Group Homes and other out-of-home Residential Settings	234 (43.3%)	116 (47.1%)

HENNEPIN COUNTY <i>Percentage of Admits by Self-Reported Race of Juvenile</i>	2004 <sup>10</sup>	2005	2006 <sup>11</sup>	2007 <sup>12</sup>
<b>Juveniles of color</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>79.8%</b>	<b>83.4%</b>	<b>85.1%</b>
Asian	4.2	4.5	4.5	3.2
Nat. Hawaiian Pacific Islander	.1	.4	0.3	0.4
Black or African American	65.4	67.8	69.1	72.3
American Indian/Native Alaskan	7.4	7.1	7.2	6.6
Other			2.3	2.6
<b>Caucasian</b>	22.9	20.1	16.7	14.9
	100%	100%	100%	100%
<b>Hispanic Ethnicity (All Races)</b>	8.2%	8.3%	9.0%	9.5%
<b>Youth of Color Committed to state corrections</b> *Data not disaggregated by race and ethnicity for these placements		N/A*	N/A*	N/A*
<b>Youth of Color receiving post-dispositional placements in Ranch Camps, Group Homes and other out-of-home Residential Settings</b>		N/A	N/A	411 (83.1%)
<b>Youth of Color Percent of ADP Treatment Program</b>		N/A Program not yet established	N/A Data not disaggregated at time of report	12.4 (75.6%)

#### Definitions and qualifiers:

- “Admits” refers to juveniles brought to JDC and admitted by JDC Staff. (???)
- Excludes bookings with missing self-reported race. (????)

<sup>10</sup> Data from 2004-2005 taken from 2006 annual results report – unknown how data originally gathered

<sup>11</sup> Data from on-line JdcEhm Population report Admissions by race annual 2006 (pulled 7/27/07)

<sup>12</sup> Data from on-line JdcEhm Population report Admissions by race Annual 2007

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

### Average Length of Stay for Youth of Color

NA=Not Available	2005 <sup>13</sup>	2006	2007
<b>Caucasian</b>	NA	7.4	7.2
<b>Juveniles of Color</b>	NA		<b>6.6</b>
Black/African American	NA	6.9	7.4
Am. Indian/N. Alaskan	NA	5.6	6.8
Asian	NA	5.1	5.9
Hawaiian/Pac. Isl.	NA	2.4	6.5
Other		5.1	6.8

RAMSEY COUNTY	Pre-JDAI 2005	Most Recent 2007
<b>ADP of Youth of Color in Detention Program</b>	49.5	34.2
<b>Youth of Color Percentage of ADP in Detention Program</b>	80%	80%
<b>Total Annual Admissions of Youth of Color</b>	2,877	2,439
<b>Youth of Color Percentage of Admissions</b>	71%	81%
<b>ALOS of Youth of Color in Detention Program</b>	N/A	6.2
<b>Youth of Color committed to state corrections</b>	N/A *	N/A *
<b>Youth of Color receiving post-dispositional placements in Ranch Camps, Group Homes and other out-of-home Residential Settings</b>	N/A*	N/A*

\*Placements are not available disaggregated by race and ethnicity prior to January 2008

\*Youth of Color percent of ADP for Secure Treatment Programs was not available at time of report

<sup>13</sup> ALOS for youth broken down by race is not available for 2005. The more detailed annual and quarterly reports with ALOS and ADP broken down by race and ethnicity became available at the start of 2006.

# MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

## REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

### II. INFLUENCE

#### A. DETENTION REFORMS IMPLEMENTED Specific Reforms Implemented This Reporting Period

STATE LEVEL ACTIVITY	
1.	<b>Sessions on the purpose of detention and JDAI progress</b> in sites with managing Juvenile Prosecutors, Judges and Probation directors. All three sessions facilitated by Casey JDAI team leader, James Payne. Discussions focused on challenges with reform for the various practitioner groups and next steps to further appropriate detention utilization – February, June and August, 2008.
2.	<b>Addressing Racial and Ethnic Disparities Training:</b> The three JDAI sites attended the Burns Institute training in Nevada in March, 2008. As a result, sites established definitions of DMC success with benchmarks. The definition of success for the three sites is eliminating racial and ethnic disparities. The benchmarks include, a 10% reduction in youth of color population in detention, objective criteria at decision points that define profiles of offenders matched with appropriate responses and sought outcomes and community engagement for culturally specific alternatives.
3.	<b>Final model site visits</b> were attended by the counties to Santa Cruz, Cook and Multnomah Counties. The significant lessons include the importance of leadership in reform, that reform must be comprehensive (probation reform) and inclusiveness of impacted communities of color in reform processes and establishing alternatives.
4.	<b>Addressing Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Juvenile Justice</b> , August, 2008: Training by James Bell, W. Haywood Burns Institute, and JDAI team leader, James Payne. Target audience was state and JDAI site executive leadership. Focused on the role and importance of leadership in addressing racial and ethnic disparities in juvenile justice systems, and special detention cases as a clear area to impact DMC.
5.	<b>Detention reform efforts presented at the OJJDP 2008 Conference in Nashville, Tennessee:</b> Minnesota State JDAI Coordinator Angelique Kedem and State DMC Coordinator Maurice Nins, presented a summary of Minnesota's JDAI efforts at the workshop entitled 'Developing and Implementing Detention Reform Efforts' August, 2008.
6.	<b>State expansion efforts:</b> In collaboration with Minnesota's State Advisory Group: the Governor's Juvenile Justice Advisory Committee (JJAC), JDAI was presented to the 9 <sup>th</sup> Judicial District which represents four northern, rural Minnesota counties: Cass, Beltrami, Hubbard and Itasca counties. These counties have two neighboring reservations - Red Lake and Leech Lake. JDAI has been approached as a means to address the disproportionate minority contact for American Indian youth in their systems. St. Louis County, which provides detention services for three surrounding counties in northern Minnesota, is also interested in JDAI and did a readiness assessment consultation with the Burns Institute. A meeting to debrief the results of the assessment, facilitated by Burns Institute staff, was held in March, 2008. St. Louis County's DMC is most significant with American Indian youth. Minnesota's JJAC has set aside funds to contribute to detention reform efforts for outstate Minnesota counties.
	JDAI was introduced to the Association of Minnesota Counties' Public Safety Committee at their annual planning conference for the Association's legislative agenda in July, 2008. JDAI presentations were also given at the Minnesota State Conference for Children with Mental Health (MACMH), the African American Leadership Council, the State Council on Black Minnesotans, Minnesota Association of Community Corrections Act Counties annual conference (MACCAC) and 'Connecting Youth to Success' Minnesota state conference on juvenile justice hosted by the Juvenile Justice Coalition.



## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

7.	<b>Collaboration:</b> Minnesota JDAI co-hosted with the Juvenile Justice Coalition and State Public Defenders Office, a discussion of legislative platforms and session outcomes with juvenile justice agencies and associations, the first gathering of its kind in Minnesota. This collaborative includes a range of groups such as the County Attorney's Association, Probation associations, Public Defenders, Mental Health advocacy groups, Minnesota Counties' Association and Corrections associations. The groups prioritized areas of focus and mutual support for the 2009 legislative session with the top two priorities 1) Defining use of secure detention for youth posing an imminent public safety risk and the creation of objective detention screening tools for all facilities in the state, and 2) Addressing racial and ethnic disparities through comprehensive data collection by race and ethnicity at each decision point along the juvenile justice continuum.
8.	<b>State level collaboration on data collection:</b> Minnesota has three delivery systems for corrections services – State Department of Corrections supervision, county run supervision and a mixture of county and state run supervision where corrections is under supervision of the court. These three delivery systems, the Department of Public Safety (Office of Justice Programs) and JDAI are collaborating regarding juvenile justice data collection for racial and ethnic disparities work and defining outcomes for juvenile justice in Minnesota.

CORE STRATEGY	<u>DAKOTA COUNTY: SPECIFIC REFORMS IMPLEMENTED</u>	Please Specify: Policy, Program or Practice
Collaboration	<b>School Collaborative</b> formed to address school referrals and DMC.	Practice
Use Of Data	<b>Implementation of the Risk Assessment Instrument</b> on CJIN database to assess youth to determine level of risk to the public and level detention alternative needed. Quarterly data reports to assist in monitoring detention utilization and other data reports developed to monitor other programs.	Practice
Objective Screening	Policies and procedures implemented to ensure appropriate detention utilization. Established a triage procedure to expedite youth to a detention alternative.	Policy/Practice
Alternatives to Detention	<b>Dakota County utilizes the following ATDs:</b> electronic monitoring, Robocuff, and shelters.	Policy/Practice
Case Processing	<b>Placement team meeting added;</b> twice per week.	Practice
	The additional meeting further expedites the process of getting youth into court.	
Special Populations	<b>Development of the sanction grid to address probation violations.</b>	Practice
ERDD*	<b>Data collected by race/ethnicity and gender.</b> The DMC Committee review of each decision point in the juvenile system by population & admission criteria.	Practice
Conditions of Confinement	<b>Dakota County facility assessment completed &amp; an action plan developed.</b> A monthly review schedule to monitor progress in Completing the identified actions in the plan.	Practice
Misc.		

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008

### REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

CORE STRATEGY	<u>HENNEPIN COUNTY</u> : SPECIFIC REFORMS IMPLEMENTED	Please Specify: Policy, Program or Practice
Collaboration		
Use Of Data		
Objective Screening	<p><b>Current Detention Criteria retained – adopted February 2008</b> We decided that RAI would not supplant the current detention criteria. Instead the RAI along with the Mandatory Crimes list would be used to all new JDC admissions only. Youth admitted to the JDC on Warrants and A &amp; Ds would not be given a RAI.</p> <p><b>RAI – begins – April 2008</b> Hennepin County’s Risk Assessment Instrument goes on line, April 7. A small group of individuals reverse the policy decision of the P&amp;I committee and determines that youth in ATD will have an “out-of-custody” status. However, they will be subject to the same case processing timelines as “in-custody” youth. It was also decided that the RAI and mandatory offense categories would remain un-touched for 6-months.</p>	<p>Policy</p> <p>Policy &amp; Practice</p>
	<p><b>All Youth admitted to the JDC given RAI – Adopted May 2008</b> A decision was made to have all youth admitted to the JDC given the RAI. However, youth brought in on warrants and A &amp; Ds were not given full RAI. Only youth brought in on new crimes are administered full RAIs.</p>	Practice
Alternatives to Detention	<p><b>Policy decision to keep youth in ATDs on-custody status – adopted in March 2008</b> During the March Policy &amp; Implementation Committee meeting it was decided that youth who go to detention Alternative would remain on “in-custody status”. That way they would be subject to the same accelerated timelines as youth in secure detention</p>	Policy
Case Processing	<p>A new form for warrants for juveniles who do not complete their community service was implemented in September 2007 – this form allows more low-level juvenile offenders to be released</p>	Policy & Practice
	<p><b>New Court Bench warrant Policy adopted January 2008</b> The Juvenile Court adopted a new Bench Warrant policy has a system default to release unless a judicial officer affirms that they want a juvenile held due to underlying public safety concerns.</p>	Policy
	<p><b>Printed Copies of the RAI given to Court – Adopted May 2008.</b> After a long contentious debate, the P &amp; I committee adopted a policy to provide printed copies of the RAI to the juvenile court during detention hearings. The RAI scores are for information purposes only and (by policy) cannot be changed by the court. Judges wanted these scores as one more tool to assist them in their decision-making in detention hearings.</p>	Policy & Practice
Special Populations	<p><b>Juvenile Probation pilots Administrative Sanctions Grid – May 2008</b></p>	Practice Pilot
	<p><b>Juvenile Probation institutes new A &amp; D policy – Adopted June 2008</b> Juvenile Probation’s new policy prohibits probation officers from issuing A &amp; Ds for juvenile petty, traffic and status offenses.</p>	Policy

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

<b>Ethnic/Racial Disparities</b>	Collaborative set 10% reduction goal in 2008 for Youth of Color in detention Data is being collected, particularly RAI information by race, ethnicity, gender, geography and connected offenses	
<b>Conditions of Confinement</b>		
<b>Misc.</b>		

<b>CORE STRATEGY</b>	<b><u>RAMSEY COUNTY</u>: SPECIFIC REFORMS IMPLEMENTED</b>	<b>Please Specify: Policy, Program or Practice</b>
<b>Collaboration</b>	<b>Resolution in support of JDAI</b> passed by Saint Paul City Council	Policy
	<b>Corrections and Saint Paul Police weekly meetings</b> to discuss reform issues established	Practice
<b>Use Of Data</b>	Produce quarterly reports for detention showing admissions, ALOS, ADP by race, ethnicity, gender	Practice
	Implemented monthly reports of RAI results showing scores and override rates by race, ethnicity, geography, gender, offense level and reason for override. (Data can be further disaggregated upon request)	Practice
<b>Objective Screening</b>	<b>Implemented the a risk screening tool for detention</b> based on the established purpose of detention	Practice
	<b>Detention criteria and worksheet for law enforcement</b> established for use at arrest.	Practice
	<b>Risk assessment instrument qualitative study</b> completed	
<b>Alternatives to Detention</b>	<b>Probation alternatives</b> implemented: home detention alternative and GPS home monitoring.	Policy
	Increased the <b>use of shelters</b> as a defined alternative to detention	Policy
	<b>Crisis response program for youth with domestic assault</b> and mental health issues implemented	Program
<b>Case Processing</b>	<b>Next day court schedules for ATD youth</b> Established	Policy
	Established an 8 day detention review for trials, dispositions and placements with bench.	Policy
	Established Case Processing Committee	Practice
<b>Special Populations</b>	Established policy that all recommendations to detention for probation violations require a supervisor's approval	Practice / Policy
	<b>Walk-in calendar implemented</b> for youth with misdemeanor level offenses or less with technical probation violations.	Practice
	Implemented the required completion of YLSI for all youth on probation to determine risk level.	Practice
<b>ERDD*</b>	Established the DMC Committee to look at policies and practices through a racial lens.	Practice
	Began collecting data by ethnicity.	Practice
<b>Conditions of Confinement</b>	Completed the Facility Assessment, implemented corrective action plan and established annual review process with Tri-County JDAI jurisdictions.	Practice
	Improved quality and portion of food.	Practice

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

	<b>Weekly STD clinic</b> for male and female residents added	Practice
	<b>Sexual abuse screening and triage protocol</b> implemented at admissions.	Practice
<b>Misc.</b>	Hand-gathered data for 2007 warrants by race, gender, offence levels	n/a
	<b>Implemented reminder phone calls</b> for youth with initial hearings	Practice

### B. MEDIA COVERAGE

DAKOTA COUNTY		
Date	Description of Coverage	Name of Media
6/2008	Tri-County JDAI work	Star Tribune
6/2008	<i>JDAI "Kick Off" Press Release</i> Introduced detention reform plan for Dakota County – releasing youth inappropriate for secure detention to community alternatives.	Star Tribune
6/2008	<i>JDAI "Kick Off" Press Release</i>	Pioneer Press
6/2008	<i>Detention Reform; "When Detention isn't the answer to keep numbers down in juvenile lock up, county reexamines how it decides who belongs where"</i> Dakota County JSC Data Article delves into overrepresentation of youth of color in detention and Dakota's efforts to address the issue through JDAI.	Pioneer Press

HENNEPIN COUNTY		
Date	Description of Coverage	Name of Media
4/10/08	<i>State of the County Address:</i> Hennepin County Board of Commissioners Chair, Randy Johnson references JDAI as an important strategy in his annual, televised address.	State of County Address
5/22/08	<i>Voices of the African American Community</i> - Presiding Juvenile Court Judge, Tanya Bransford is an hour-long guest on radio. Entire show is devoted to JDAI.	KMOJ Radio
5/23/08	<i>Urban Agenda</i> – Brian Smith (JDAI) answers questions on community radio forum on JDAI.	KMOJ Radio
6/08/08	<i>News Paper Article entitled: <u>Dakota County – When Detention isn't the answer to keep numbers down in juvenile lock up, county reexamines how it decides who belongs where.</u></i> Hennepin County is mentioned in this article.	St. Paul Pioneer Press
6/18/08	A basic re-hash of the Pioneer Press article.	Star Tribune
8/08	Updated (2008) Hennepin County JDAI factsheet posted.	Hennepin County Website

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

<b>RAMSEY COUNTY</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>Description of Coverage</b>	<b>Name of Media</b>
October 2007	JDAI structure and general overview	JDAI News (Ramsey County)
6/8/08	Ramsey County mention as part of Dakota "kick-off"	Pioneer Press
6/18/08	Tri-County JDAI overview	Star Tribune
June 2008	RAI implementation and process	JDAI News (Ramsey County)
7/15/08	Ramsey County JDAI Overview and Community Coach-up Announcement	KFAI
Ongoing	Ramsey JDAI, Association of MN Counties, Juvenile Justice Advisory Council, MN Courts, Employ Ex-Offenders	Websites

### **C. MEETINGS, CONFERENCES, PRESENTATIONS, TRAINING**

<b>DAKOTA COUNTY</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>Description of Event</b>	<b># Attendees</b>
4/2008	Community Engagement- Garage Program	8
5/2008	Community Engagement- Apple Valley Youth Center	6
5/2008	Youth Council- Presentation on JDAI	15
7/2008	Community Engagement- Hastings Comm. Collaborative	12
4/2008	Risk Assessment & Triage Training	8
6/2008	Risk Assessment & Triage Training	5

<b>HENNEPIN COUNTY</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>Description of Event</b>	<b># Attendees</b>
<b>9/25 - 9/27/07</b>	JDAI Inter site Conference in Dallas, TX	A 6-member delegation from Hennepin County
<b>10/25 – 10/26/07</b>	OJJDP Conference on DMC, Denver, CO	2 people from Hennepin County attended this conference
<b>1/30 – 1/31/08</b>	Model site visit to Santa Cruz County, CA	13
<b>3/14 – 3/15/08</b>	Model site visit to Multnomah County, Oregon	1 person from Hennepin County
<b>3/25/08</b>	Burns Institute Training on Eliminating Racial Disparities – Las Vegas, Nevada	5 From Hennepin County
<b>5/07 – 5/08/08</b>	Model site Visit to Cook County, Illinois	4 from Hennepin County

## MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008 REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007

<b>6/19/08</b>	Juvenile Justice Coalition Conference – <i>Connecting Youth to Success – Doing Juveniles Justice in Minnesota</i> Conference had several workshops that featured JDAI and Burns Institute strategies.	300 community people, juvenile justice professionals and community service professions in attendance
<b>7/31/08</b>	Association of Minnesota Counties – Juvenile Justice legislative subcommittee meeting – State and Hennepin County JDAI Coordinators and State DMC Coordinator & Compliance Monitor made a presentation to this group	25
<b>8/15/08</b>	Burns Institute Policy makers Coach-up. An excellent training on Eliminating Racial Disparities. Has had a positive impact on juvenile justice policy leaders in Hennepin County. Bravo!	Approx. 100 in attendance from all 3 JDAI Minnesota counties.

<b>RAMSEY COUNTY</b>		
<b>Date</b>	<b>Description of Event</b>	<b># Attendees</b>
9/25-9/26/07	JDAI Interstate Conference	6
10/7-10/8/07	OJJDP DMC Conference	5
2/5/08	County Board of Commissioners presentation on JDAI	53
2/2008	Suburban Collaborative presentation on JDAI	25
6/10/08	Black Ministerial Alliance presentation on JDAI	17
5/14/08	Saint Paul City Council presentation on JDAI	10
6/05/08	Presented to community-based organizations on ATD development	10
6/19/08	<b>Connecting Youth to Success</b> Forum on juvenile justice reforms	15
7/10/08	Presentation on JDAI to African American Leadership Council	15
8/20/08	Presentation on detention reform to Congresswoman Betty McCollum's Aide	9
8/22/08	Presentation/ discussion with community-based organizations on ATDs	23
8/23/08	Presentation on JDAI to Hmong 18 Council	24
12/2007 - ongoing	RAI Training for JDC staff and stakeholders	50+

**III. LEVERAGE RESULTS**


---

<b>DAKOTA COUNTY</b>		
<b>Project/Staff Description</b>	<b>Fund Type<sup>14</sup></b>	<b>Amount</b>
Local JDAI Coordinator	A/C	\$47,000
Expeditor	A	\$25,342
Supervisor (for JDAI Coordinator)	A	\$51,044
FullThought, Consultancy (Consultant services for Community Engagement Project)	C	\$10,000
MACRO Group (Data System Enhancements)	C	\$50,000

<b>HENNEPIN COUNTY</b>		
<b>Project/Staff Description</b>	<b>Fund Type<sup>15</sup></b>	<b>Amount</b>
Corrections Admin Support 20% effort	A	\$9,000
Site Coordinator Salary Support. Data Coordinator .5 FTE Community Liaison	A B (JJAC)	\$106,000 \$40,000 \$40,000
RAI development Court Administration Research – 500 hours Detention Center staff - 230 hours Juvenile Judge – 80 hours	A A B	\$26,000 \$10,000 \$ 7,000
Committee member time – too much to count, from county, state and community based organizations	A, D	

<sup>14</sup> A = local government funds; B = state or federal grants; C = foundation/private grants; D = in-kind match

<sup>15</sup> A = local government funds; B = state or federal grants; C = foundation/private grants; D = in-kind match

**MINNESOTA JDAI RESULTS REPORT 2008  
REPORTING PERIOD 1/2007 – 12/2007**

<b>RAMSEY COUNTY</b>		
<b>Project/Staff Description</b>	<b>Fund Type<sup>16</sup></b>	<b>Amount</b>
JDAI Coordinators	A/C	\$64,0000
Staff time for special projects (report generation, data collection, programming, etc.)	A	\$35,055
Staff time for special projects (report generation, data collection, programming, etc.)	A/C	\$75,0000
Training for Community Engagement / Sanctions Grid	C	\$25,000

<sup>16</sup> A = local government funds; B = state or federal grants; C = foundation/private grants; D = in-kind match